1	Marsha L. Stephenson, Esq.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 6130 STEPHENSON & DICKINSON, P.C.	
3	2820 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 19	
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 474-7229	
5	Facsimile: (702) 474-7237 admin@sdlawoffice.net	
6		
7	Attorneys for Defendant AXIS SURPLUS INSURANCE COMPANY	
8		
9	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
10		
11	DISTRICT O)F NEVADA
12	RICHARDSON CONSTRUCTION, INC. a Nevada Corporation,	CASE NO.
13	Plaintiff,	
14		NOTICE OF REMOVAL
15	Vs.	NOTICE OF REMOVAL
16	AXIS SURPLUS INSURANCE COMPANY; INSURANCE SOLUTIONS GROUP, INC.; and	,
17	DOE INDIVIDUALS 1 through 10, inclusive;	
18	and ROE CORPORATIONS 1 through 100, inclusive,	
19	·	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		_
23	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant t	to 28 U.S.C. §§1332, 1441 and 1446, Defendan
24	AXIS SURPLUS INSURANCE COMPANY (her	einafter referred to as "Axis"), by and through its
25	undersigned counsel, removes this action from	the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County
26	Nevada, Case No. A-10-612537-C, to the United	
27	Axis alleges that this matter is proper for remov	al pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 since the United
	11	

2

3

follows:

States District Court for the District of Nevada has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as

1. This matter is an alleged breach of contract lawsuit arising out of an insurance policy.

5 6

7 8

9 10

12

13

11

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

- a. <u>Diversity of Citizenship</u>. There is diversity of citizenship in that:
 - 1) Plaintiff is a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business in Clark County, Nevada. (Ex. A, ¶1).
 - 2) Axis is an Illinois corporation with its principal place of business in Georgia.
 - 3) Solutions is a Mississippi corporation with its principal place of business in Ridgeland, Mississippi. (See Mississippi Secretary of State entity details for Insurance Solutions Group, Inc., attached hereto as Exhibit "C").
- b. Amount in Controversy Exceeds \$75,000.00. In his Complaint, Plaintiff claims general and special damages in excess of \$10,000.00, as well as punitive damages in excess of \$10,000.00. Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 8(a), when a claimant seeks damages in excess of \$10,000.00, the claimant only needs to demand damages in excess of \$10,000.00 without any specification of the amount. Thus, when the amount in controversy is not set forth in the Complaint, the removal petition can be considered in determining such amount. Singer v. State Farm Mutual Auto Insurance Co., 116 F.3d 373, 377 (9th Cir.1997). The amount in controversy requirement is established if, by preponderance of evidence, the removing party can establish that the amount in controversy is above \$75,000.00 Valdez v. Allstate Ins. Co., 372 F.3d 1115, 1117 (9th Cir.2004). In considering the types of evidence defendants may rely upon to satisfy the preponderance of evidence threshold, the Court may consider facts presented in the removal petition as well as "summaryjudgment-type evidence relevant to the amount in controversy at the time of removal." Id., quoting Matheson v. Progressive Specialty Ins. Co., 319 F.3d 1089, 1090 (9th Cir.2003); see Cohen v. Petsmart, Inc., 281 F.3d 837, 840 (9th Cir.2002) (per curiam) ("A settlement letter is relevant evidence of the amount in controversy if it appears to reflect a reasonable estimate of plaintiff's claim.").

On August 10, 2009, Plaintiff's counsel sent Axis a letter requesting that Axis pay Plaintiff's claim for \$517,509.36 pursuant to a commercial general liability policy issued by Axis. This amount reflected the damages due to property damage from the fire. Plaintiff's counsel attached a breakdown of costs associated with the \$517,509.36 claim

i	to that correspondence. (See 5th Street School Fire Damage Costs, attached hereto as
2	Exhibit "D"). Therefore, the amount in controversy is above the jurisdictional threshold.
3	7. This Notice is timely pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b). Axis is filing this Notice within thirty
4	days after receipt of service of the Complaint, which occurred on May 3, 2010. (Ex. B).
5	8. This Court is the District Court of the United States for the district where the state action
6	(Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. A-10-612537-C) is currently
7	pending.
8	9. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1446(a), the following documents from the state court action, Eightl
9	Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. A-10-612537-C, are attached hereto
10	a. Complaint (Ex. A);
11	b. Summons (attached hereto as Exhibit "E"); and
12	c. Proof of Service (Ex. B).
13	10. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1446(d), this Notice is being served upon Plaintiff's counsel. A copy
14	is also being filed with the clerk of the court for the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark
15	County, Nevada.
16	DATED this 28 day of, 2010.
17	STEPHENSON & DICKINSON, P.C.
18	1/2 and $1/2$
19	By: Marsha L. Stephenson Esq.
20	Nevada Bar No. 6130 V STEPHENSON & DICKINSON, P.C.
21	2820 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 19
22	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 474-7229
23	Facsimile: (702) 474-7237
24	admin@sdlawoffice.net Attorneys for AXIS SURPLUS INSURANCE
25	COMPANY
26	
27	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	The undersigned does hereby certify that on the May of May, 2010, a tru
3	and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF REMOVAL was served to the following parties by
4	Placing an original or true copy in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing in the United States Mail, at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage
5	pre-paid, following ordinary business practices;
6	CM/ECF (Case Management/Electronic Case Filing):
7	Theodore Parker, III, Esq.
8.	Nevada Bar No. 004716 PARKER, NELSON & ASSOCIATES, CHTD.
9	2460 Professional Court, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89128
10	(702) 868-8000
11	tparker@pnalaw.net
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff
13	1/2 / 1/2/2
14 15	Employee of STEPHENSON & DICKINSON
16	Employee of STEP TIER (SOFT)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

EXHIBIT A

Electronically Filed 03/24/2010 09:11:13 AM 1 COM THEODORE PARKER, III, ESQ. **CLERK OF THE COURT** Nevada Bar No. 004716 2 PARKER, NELSON & ASSOCIATES, CHTD. 2460 Professional Court., Suite 200 3 Las Vegas, NV 89128 (702) 868-8000 4 (702) 868-8601 Fax Attorney for Plaintiff 5 Richardson Construction, Inc. DIVISION OF INSURANCE STATE OF NEVADA 6 DISTRICT COURT 7 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 RICHARDSON CONSTRUCTION, INC. A - 10 - 612537 - Ca Nevada Corporation, 10 XVII CASE NO.: A Plaintiff, 11 DEPT. NO .: 12 ٧ş. EXEMPTION FROM AXIS SURPLUS INSURANCE COMPANY; 13 ARBITRATION RULE 3(A) INSURANCE SOLUTIONS GROUP, INC.; (ACTION FOR DECLARATORY and DOE INDIVIDUALS I through 10, inclusive; 14 RELIEF)] and ROE CORPORATIONS 1 though 100, 15 inclusive, Defendants. 16 17 COMPLAINT 18 GENERAL ALLEGATIONS 19 Plaintiff, RICHARDSON CONSTRUCTION, INC. ("RICHARDSON"), is and at 1. 20 all times mentioned herein was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of 21 Nevada, with its principal place of business in Clark County, Nevada. 22 RICHARDSON is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant, AXIS 2. 23 SURPLUS INSURANCE COMPANY ("AXIS") is a corporation authorized to transact business in 24 the State of Nevada and regularly conducts business in Clark County, Nevada. 25 RICHARDSON is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant, 3. 26 INSURANCE SOLUTIONS GROUP, INC. ("SOLUTIONS") is a corporation authorized to transact 27 1 28

business in the State of Nevada and regularly conducts business in Clark County, Nevada.

- 4. Defendant DOE INDIVIDUALS 1 through 50, and each of them, are insurers, persons, or other entities which are authorized to do and do business in the State of Nevada as insurers and/or brokers.
- 5. DOES 1 through 50, and each of them, issued one or more policies of insurance which names RICHARDSON as an insured and/or provided, or potentially provides, coverage for all or a portion of claims made by RICHARDSON as described further herein. The true identities of DOES 1 through 50, and each of them, are currently unknown to RICHARDSON and RICHARDSON therefore prays for leave to amend this Complaint to assert the proper names of each such entities and/or individuals when their identities are discovered.
- 6. Defendant ROE CORPORATIONS 1 through 50, and each of them, are corporations or other entities which are authorized to do and do business in the State of Nevada as insurers and/or brokers.
- 7. ROES I through 50, and each of them, issued one or more policies of insurance which names RICHARDSON as an insured and/or provided, or potentially provides, coverage for all or a portion of claims made by RICHARDSON as described further herein. The true identities of ROES I through 50, and each of them, are currently unknown to RICHARDSON and RICHARDSON therefore prays for leave to amend this Complaint to assert the proper names of each such corporations and/or entities when their identities are discovered.
- 8. RICHARDSON was at certain relevant times involved in the development of real property in Clark County, Nevada for the purpose of constructing the development known as Fifth Street School Rehabilitation Project, located in Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada.
- 9. During construction of the Project, a fire destroyed a significant portion of the development.
- 10. As a result of the fire, RICHARDSON incurred loss and adjustment, mitigation, repair, construction and/or reconstruction costs.

- 11. RICHARDSON contracted with the City of Las Vegas for construction of the Project.

 The City of Las Vegas required RICHARDSON to maintain certain liability insurance for personal injury and property damage liability, and to designate the City of Las Vegas as an additional insured.
- 12. AXIS executed and delivered one or more policies of general liability insurance to RICHARDSON.
- SOLUTIONS procured, as broker, one or more of the aforementioned policies of insurance to RICHARDSON.
- 14. RICHARDSON qualifies as an insured under the policies referenced or is otherwise entitled to directly obtain benefits under such policy.
- Pursuant to the policy of general liability insurance, provided by SOLUTIONS, AXIS promised to pay all sums which RICHARDSON became obligated to pay because of property damage, bodily injury and/or personal injury at the Project occurring during the effective coverage period of the policy and caused by an occurrence.
- 16. RICHARDSON in fact made claims indicating, or evidence is available otherwise, that property damage, bodily injury and/or personal injury damages potentially occurred during the policy periods of the insurance policies issued by AXIS.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief)

- 17. RICHARDSON repeats and incorporates all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16 as though fully set forth herein.
- 18. RICHARDSON provided notice of the claim to AXIS. RICHARDSON has performed each covenant and/or condition which on its part must be performed in order to obtain a reimbursement under the policies referenced herein above or has been excused from so performing as a result of AXIS' breach of their respective insurance agreements, including their refusal to appropriately reimburse RICHARDSON.
 - 19. AXIS among other things, agreed to investigate, adjust, and defend RICHARDSON

 as to any claims on account of alleged property damages, bodily injury and/or personal injury within or potentially falling within the coverage, as defined by the policy referenced herein above.

- 20. RICHARDSON has been forced to incur repair, investigative, loss and adjustment fees, costs, and expenses falling within the coverage of, or as defined in, each of the policies referred to herein above.
- 21. An actual controversy exists between RICHARDSON and AXIS regarding AXIS' obligation to reimburse RICHARDSON for fees, costs and expenses incurred to repair, investigate, mitigate, and adjust the claim relative to the fire loss at the project in question. A declaratory judgment is necessary and appropriate to determine the rights and duties of the parties under the insurance policy.
- 22. Disputes have arisen between RICHARDSON and AXIS in that RICHARDSON contends that AXIS has a duty to reimburse amounts that have been previously expended by RICHARDSON.
- 23. RICHARDSON is informed and believes that AXIS disputes each of the contentions as stated above. An actual controversy exists between RICHARDSON and AXIS regarding these contentions. A declaratory judgment is therefore necessary and appropriate to determine the rights and duties of the parties under these insurance policies. The amount in controversy exceeds \$10,000.00.
- 24. To the extent that AXIS claims that their respective insurance policies do not provide coverage for the claims made by RICHARDSON as a result of limitations in coverage, AXIS is estopped from asserting such limitations as a result of documentation issued by or on behalf of AXIS indicating the existence of coverage without such limitations. Alternatively, AXIS has waived any otherwise applicable policy limitations or are estopped from asserting such limitations as a result of their conduct as stated herein or proved in this action.

25. RICHARDSON has been compelled to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and Plaintiffs, are, therefore, entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract)

- 26. RICHARDSON repeats and incorporates all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 25 as though fully set forth herein.
 - 27. That RICHARDSON, is an "insured" under the Subject Policy.
- 28. That Defendants breached the subject policy and respective duties by failing to properly evaluate the claim and provide coverage to RICHARDSON.
- 29. That as a direct and proximate result of Defendants breach of contract, Defendants are liable to RICHARDSON in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 30. RICHARDSON has been compelled to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and Plaintiffs, are, therefore, entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair Claims Practice Violations of NRS 686A.310)

- 31. RICHARDSON repeats and incorporates all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 30 as though fully set forth herein.
- 32. Defendants are engaged in the business of insurance in the state of Nevada. As such, Defendants are subject to the provisions of the Nevada Insurance Code, including, but not limited to NRS 686A.310 (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Nevada Unfair Claims Statutes").
- 33. The Nevada Unfair Claims Statutes imposed upon Defendants certain duties concerning the investigation, negotiation, and settlement of claims. The Nevada Unfair Claims Statues were intended to prevent the type of injury and damage set forth herein and RICHARDSON herein is in the class of people to be protected by the Nevada Unfair Claims Statutes.

- That Defendants violated § NRS 686A.310(1).
- By reason of the conduct of Defendants, RICHARDSON has been and continues to be damaged in the amount which cannot be ascertained at this time. The violations by Defendants were done without just or reasonable cause and were committed with the intent to gain for Defendants an unfair advantage over RICHARDSON. The subject statutory violations were done willfully, fraudulently, maliciously, oppressively, and with conscious disregard for RICHARDSON's rights and with the intent to vex, annoy, harass and injure RICHARDSON. RICHARDSON is therefor entitled to punitive damages against Defendants.
- As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' violations of NRS 686A.310. RICHARDSON has been damaged in excess of \$10,000.00.
- RICHARDSON has been compelled to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and Plaintiffs, are, therefore, entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of the Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)

- RICHARDSON repeats and incorporates all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 37 as though fully set forth herein.
- The covenant of good faith and fair dealing is implied in the Subject Policy and duties afforded in providing coverage to RICHARDSON.
- Defendants' acts alleged herein combine to create harm to RICHARDSON in such
- That Defendants failed to deal fairly and in good faith with RICHARDSON in providing coverage and by refusing and without probable cause to compensate RICHARDSON for

25 /// 26

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

-7-

1	6. For all such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper including
2	but not limited to injunctive relief to enforce this Court's orders and/or judgments.
3	DATED THIS Edate of March, 2010.
4	PARKER, NELSON & ASSOCIATES, CHTD.
5	
6	THEODORUS III ESO
7	THEODORE PARKER, III, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 004716 2460 Professional Court
8	Las Vegas, NV 89128 (702) 868-8000
9	(702) 868-8601 Fax (702) 868-8601 Fax Attorney for Plaintiff Richardson Construction, Inc.
10	Richardson Construction, Inc.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
- 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	K:\Personal Oirectories\PARKER\Richardson\Axis Surplus\pleadings\Complaint.02.25.10.wpd
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-8-

Exhibit B

JIM GIBBONS Governor

DIANNE CORNWALL
Director

STATE OF NEVADA

SCOTT J. KIPPER Commissioner of Insurance



DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY DIVISION OF INSURANCE

May 3, 2010

2501 E. Sahara Avenue, No. 302

Las Vegas, Nevada 89104.

(702) 486-4009 • Fax (702) 486-4007

E-mail: insinfo@doi.state.nv.us

CERTIFIED MAIL

RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

#7008 1830 0003 5446 3330

AXIS SURPLUS INSURANCE COMPANY C/O CSC SERVICES OF NEVADA 502 EAST JOHN STREET CARSON CITY, NV 89706

Re: Case No.

A612537

Case Name:

Richardson Constr., Inc. v. Axis Surplus Ins. Co.

Dear Agent:

The enclosed Summons and Complaint in the matter referenced above were delivered to the office of the Commissioner of Insurance, on April 8, 2010. To complete service of process, in accordance with NRS 685A.200, we are forthwith mailing by certified mail one of the copies of such process to you, the entity currently designated by the insurer to receive such process.

Also enclosed herein is a true and correct copy of the Proof of Service in this matter dated May 3, 2010, and a copy of our letter to Plaintiff's counsel, dated May 3, 2010.

As provided in NRS 685A.200, you have 40 days from the date of this service to respond.

If you have any questions regarding this service, please do not hesitate to contact us.

36 13 mas succes

Cordially yours,

SCOTT J. KIPPER

Commissioner of Insurance

Marilyn Brasfield
Service of Process Clerk

Telephone: 792.486.4060

Email: mbrasfield@doi.state.nv.us

Enclosures

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby declare that on April 8, 2010, two copies of the Summons and Complaint in the within entitled matter, were delivered to the office of the State of Nevada Commissioner of Insurance at the Division of Insurance; and on May 3, 2010, I mailed a copy of same Summons and Complaint to defendant AXIS SURPLUS INSURANCE COMPANY in the within entitled matter, properly addressed to the address provided for service of process to the Division of Insurance by the defendant insurer, with postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, to the following:

C/O CSC SERVICES OF NEVADA 502 EAST JOHN STREET CARSON CITY, NV 89706

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 3rd day of May, 2010.

MARILYN BRASSIELD

Employee of the State of Nevada
Department of Business and Industry

Division of Ingurance

Court: Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada

Case Name: Richardson Constr., Inc. v. Axis Surplus Ins. Co.

19 Case No. A612537

Certified Receipt No. 7008 1830 0003 5446 3330

Sinte of Neuroda, Division of Insurance This document on which this certificate is stan ped is a full, true and correct copy of the ongleaf.

Date: 513110 By: <u>M2</u> 3

27

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Exhibit C

Search Results Include Filings Through 05/20/2010 12:00 AM

Search

By Business Name

By Business ID

By Officer Name

By Registered Agent

New Corporations Annual Report

*File Online

Verification

Verify Certification Online Orders

*Register for Online Orders

Order Good Standing Fee Schedules

*Corporations

Limited Partnerships

 Limited Liability <u>Partnerships</u>

 Limited Liability <u>Companies</u>

Miscellaneous

*Registered Agents

Download Corporate Forms and Instructions

Look Up an SIC Contact

Corporations Unit

Date: 5/27/2010

View Filed Documents

Name History

Name

INSURANCE SOLUTIONS GROUP,

INC.

Name Type

Legal

Business Corporation - Domestic - Information

Business ID:

Status:

Good Standing

Creation Date:

6/21/1993

State of Incorporation:

MS 405 Legacy Park

Principal Office Address:

Ridgeland MS 39157

No Address

Registered Agent

Listing Address:

Agent Name:

Office Address:

T MARK PACE

4915 I-55 N #101-A, P O BOX 13928

JACKSON MS 39236

Mailing Address:

Officers & Directors

Husiness



Home | Accessibility Policy | Contact Us | E-mail Us | Links | Search

Copyright © 2010 Mississippi Secretary of State. All rights reserved. Due to the use of DHTML and Java, this Web site is optimized for Microsoft Internet Explorer 5+ or Netscape 6+.

Exhibit D

5TH STREET SCHOOL FIRE DAMAGE COSTS

		Line Item Cost	Ţ	Total Cost	Labor/Material	
Tab#	DESCRIPTION		_			
	e comments revised due to fire damage	\$ 15,000.00	€9	15,000.00	Barker Drottar	
_	Structural calculation is a cricemia accerna-		+			
7	2 City of Las Vegas (Fire Damage, Structural Plans)	\$ 2,731.00	97	\$ 2,731.00	Richardson	
, e	3 Toilet Rental	\$ 1,613.76	.	\$ 1,613.76	"A" Company	
	4 Temporary Power	\$ 12,643.70		\$ 12,643.70	Sunstate Equipment	ment
	n Carinmont Rental	\$ 11,568.02		\$ 11,568.02	Sunstate Equipment	ment
	Lequipilion Comments	\$ 32,538.16		\$ 32,538.16	Richardson/Sunstate	ınstate
		& A 541.10		\$ 4,541.10	Richardson/Sunstate	ınstate
	7 Building Demolition along with Daily Clean-up				Penhall	
	8 Odor Control	\$ 877.96		\$ 877.96	Steamatic	!
	9 Abatement	\$ 7,991.00		\$ 7,991.00	ADS	
	10 Abatement Monitoring	\$ 8,268.35		\$ 8,268.35	Ninyo & Moore	ည

1							-		
7	11 Field Dumpsters	<i>9</i>	7,380.00	€9		7,380.00	<u>8</u>	Republic Services	
12	Truss Removal and all Steel Piping(From Gallery to RDA)	₩.	14,000.00		\$ 14,0	14,000.00	- 우	Jose Vargas	
=====================================	13 Replace Fire Sprinkler Piping along with required disconnects	\$	26,802.64		\$ 26,8	26,802.64		Polaris	
1 5	14 Structural Components (Labor & Materials)	sa.	54,987.23		\$ 54,9	54,987.23	<u>₹ ѿ</u>	Addison/Sandlin Ed Korn/Richardson	
==	15 Lumber Erection	₩	11,815.61		\$ 11,8	11,815.61	- ò	84 Lumber	
7	16 Framing, Drywall, Insulation & Painting	\$	44,468.84		\$ 44,	44,468.84	<u>«</u>	Richardson	
-	17 Stucco	₩.	6,775.00		9	6,775.00		Horacio Garcia	
-	18 Wood Window Replication	<u>.</u>	24,356.33		\$ 24,	24,356.33	<u> </u>	Richardson	
-	19 Glass & Glazing	4	1,254.06		4.	1,254.06		Addison/Pacific Supply	
1	20 Exterior Tiles (Historic Mexican)	49	16,807.40		\$ 16	16,807.40		Estrad Flooring	
	21 Roofing	49	11,806.41		\$ 11	11,806.41		Dean Roofing	
I	Replace all Pipe insulation and internally lined duct insulation. Retest all hydronic piping, replace water, drain, waste & vent piping and all other related mechanical works to include Boiler, Chiller, AHU1 - AHU4	မာ	63,525.77		& 	63,525.77		US Mechanical	

Exhibit E

Case 2:10-cv-00817 Document 1 Filed 05/28/10 Page 24 of 25

Case 2:10-cv-00817 Document 1 Filed 05/28/10 Page 25 of 25

If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time. Issued at direction of: **CLERK OF COURT** MAR 49 2010 DEPUTY CLERK THEODORE PARKER, III, ESQ. County Courthouse Nevada Bar No. 004716 Parker, Nelson & Associates, Chtd. 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155 2460 Professional Court, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128